

Very good

Summary and response!

Summary:

According to "Outbreak linked to YMCA

banquet food", by Anne Blythe, state

health investigators have come to

the conclusion that corrupted food

at the YMCA Youth and Government

conference sickened dozens of guests.

They conducted a survey, showing that

people who ate at the banquet were

more likely to get sick. ^{Mr Krupa,} The Director

of Raleigh Convention Center, where the

conference was held, thinks the report

is very weak and ^{we} plan to bring his own

experts. The ill were treated at the

Shreeta's Hotel ^{what does this mean?} for the most part. Some returned to the conference and others were picked up by their parents.

what else did Krupa blame for the illness?

Great job with this summary-response.

You used your own words clearly and stated the important topics well.

Response

In my opinion, the investigators ~~don't~~ didn't prove the responsibility of the convention center. Like Mr. Krupa said, the report seems weak, especially if they didn't ^{we} give any lab reports or food samples. Their work lacks ~~a~~ professionalism. However, I don't think that having a dozen ~~of~~ guests sick at the same moment could be a coincidence.

It is obvious that something was

wrong with the food. I just
expect ~~from~~ the investigators to
give more details and information.

The results from the labs will
probably tell us if the worker
must be held responsible or no.

Good!